



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on August 10, 2001, this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, DC 20231.

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. § 1.10

☒ with sufficient postage as first class mail ☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No.

Trudi Thompson
Trudi Thompson

Applicant: **Pol t al.**
Serial No.: **09/551977**
Filed: **04/14/2000**
Title: **COMPOSITIONS & METHODS
FOR GENERATING AN IMMUNE
RESPONSE UTILIZING
ALPHAVIRUS-BASED VECTOR
SYSTEMS**

Examiner: **B. Li**
Group Art Unit: **1648**
Atty Docket No.: **20263.333 (1593.004)**

#10
Elec.
8/24/01
PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RESPONSE TO REQUIREMENT FOR RESTRICTION

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement dated May 30, 2001, Applicant responds as follows:

PROVISIONAL ELECTION UNDER 37 CFR §1.143

The Applicants provisionally elect to prosecute Group V, claims 17, 19-23 in the pending application. This election is made with traverse for the following reasons.

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF APPLICANT'S TRAVERSE

Applicants respectfully assert that the Examiner's claim grouping in the present application may unnecessarily increase the Examiner's searching burden, reduce claim searching efficacy and complicate divisional application prosecution. Therefore, the Applicants herein argue in favor of an alternate claim grouping strategy. As will be more fully developed below, the Applicants' proposed claim grouping provides the Examiner with claim sets that are more easily and meaningfully searched. Moreover, the proposed claim grouping will ease both the Examiner's and the Applicants' burden in divisional applications.

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

AUG 23 2001

RECEIVED